A new dynamic model for analyzing coupled nitrate-nitrite dynamics in phytoplankton communities

Martino E. Malerba*, Sean R. Connolly, Kirsten Heimann

INTRODUCTION:

Many phytoplankton species have been observed to take up and release significant amounts of nitrite, but both the dynamics and overall extent of this process are still mainly unknown. Failing to adequately account for the dynamics of nitrite uptake and release in ocean systems may bias predictions of total assimilated nitrogen and primary production. Also, excess nitrite build-up in aquaculture systems requires costly wastewater treatments and decrease the total productivity.

AIM: Design a nitrite-nitrate-biomass model that could be calibrated from time-series of readily measurable state variables.

MODEL:

The model was designed with sufficiently few param to allow calibration from relatively short time-series phytoplankton biomass (B) and extracellular concent of nitrate (NO_3) and nitrite (NO_2) . To achieve this, w extended the 'quota' modeling approach by Legovic Cruzado (1997, Ecol. Model., 99) to incorporate both release and uptake of nitrite. By using contemporary approaches for fitting multivariate autoregressive mo estimated best-fit parameter estimates accounting for whether the deviations between observed and predict values are dominated by process error (i.e., difference stochasticity in the culture dynamics itself; arrows in or by observation error (i.e., differences between obs and predicted values due to measurement error; solid Fig.1).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN0_{3}(t)}{dt} &= \left(a_{in} \times N0_{3,in} - b_{out} \times N0_{3}(t)\right) - v_{MAX_{n}N0_{3}} \times \frac{N0_{3}(t)}{N0_{3}(t) + k_{N0_{3}}} \times B(t) \\ \frac{dN0_{2}(t)}{dt} &= \left(a_{in} \times N0_{2,in} - b_{out} \times N0_{2}(t)\right) + \beta \times \left(c \times f_{N0_{3}} + f_{N0_{2}}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{q_{0}}{Q(t)}\right) \times B(t) \\ - v_{MAX_{n}N0_{2}} \times e^{\left(-\phi \times N0_{3}(t)\right)} \times \frac{N0_{2}(t)}{N0_{2}(t) + k_{N0_{2}}} \times B(t) \\ \frac{dQ(t)}{dt} &= v_{MAX_{n}N0_{3}} \times \frac{N0_{3}(t)}{N0_{3}(t) + k_{N0_{3}}} - \beta \times \left[c \times \left(\frac{v_{MAX_{n}N0_{3}} \times B(t)}{N0_{3}(t) + k_{N0_{3}}}\right) + \left(\frac{v_{MAX_{n}N0_{2}} \times e^{t}}{N0_{2}(t) + k_{N0_{3}}}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{q_{0}}{Q(t)}\right) + v_{MAX_{n}N0_{2}} \times e^{\left(-\phi \times N0_{3}(t)\right)} \times \frac{N0_{2}(t)}{N0_{2}(t) + k_{N0_{3}}} - \mu_{MAX} \times \left(1 - \frac{q_{0}}{Q(t)}\right) \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{q_{0}}{Q(t)}\right) + v_{MAX_{n}N0_{2}} \times e^{\left(-\phi \times N0_{3}(t)\right)} \times \frac{N0_{2}(t)}{N0_{2}(t) + k_{N0_{2}}} - \mu_{MAX} \times \left(1 - \frac{q_{0}}{Q(t)}\right) \times B(t) \end{aligned}$$

Source: Malerba, M. E., Connolly, S. R., Heimann K., Nitrate - nitrite dynamics and phytoplankton growth: Formulation and experimental evaluation of a dynamic model. Limnology and Oceanography, in press.

ments and	d decrease	the total productivity.	μM)	25	
ould be calibrated from time-series of				20	
	Table 1: Summ	nary table of model State Variables and Parameters	um N	15	
	State variables	Definition (units)	ediu	10	
neters of trations	NO ₃ NO ₂ Q B	Nitrate in medium (μ mol NO ₃ ⁻ L ⁻¹) Nitrite in medium (μ mol NO ₂ ⁻ L ⁻¹) Nitrogen quota (μ mol N cell ⁻¹) Biomass (cell mL ⁻¹)	M) Me	800	
ve	Parameters	Definition (units)	(m)		
and h the	a_{in} b_{out} NO _{3,in}	Input Dilution rate in chaemostat systems (day ⁻¹) Output Dilution rate in chaemostat systems (day ⁻¹) Concentration of nitrate supply (μ mol NO ₃ ⁻ L ⁻¹)	m Nitrate	400 600	
odels, we	NO _{2,in} vmax_no ₃	Concentration of nitrite supply (μ mol NO ₂ ⁻ L ⁻¹) Maximum per-capita nitrate uptake rate (μ mol NO ₃ ⁻ cell ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	Mediu	200	
ted	k NO $_3$ C	Nitrate half-saturation constant (μ mol NO ₃ ⁻ L ⁻¹) Conversion coefficient from nitrate to nitrite (μ mol NO ⁻ x(μ mol NO ⁻)-1). Set at c=1	[-1]	7.0	
tes due to Fig.1),	β	Maximum proportion of per-capita nitrate and nitrite uptake released as nitrite ($0 \le \beta \le 1$) (unitless)	cell ml	16.5 1	
served I line in	v max_no ₂ ϕ	Maximum per-capita nitrite uptake rate (μ mol NO ₂ ⁻ cell ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) Nitrate inhibition for nitrite uptake	ss (ln e	16.0	
	kno ₂	(μ mol NO ₃ ⁻ L ⁻¹) ⁻¹ Nitrite half-saturation constant (μ mol NO ₂ ⁻ L ⁻¹)	Bioma	0 15.5	
	$q_{_0}$ $\mathcal{Q}_{_{\mathrm{init}}}$	Per-capita minimum nitrogen quota (μ mol N cell ⁻¹) Per-capita initial nitrogen quota (μ mol N cell ⁻¹)		15.	7
	$\mu_{\rm MAY}$	Growth rate at infinite nutrient storage (dav-1)			

t(t)



RESULTS and DISCUSSION: The model accurately characterizes the dynamics of nitrite uptake and excretion, nitrate uptake and assimilation efficiency, and population growth for the three cultures of *Picochlorum atomus* reared in batch culture. The model also characterizes the dynamics of three other phytoplankton species very well (results not shown: see Malerba et al. in press for details). This relatively simple model successfully captures a broad range of qualitatively different nitrate and nitrite dynamics, and provides insight into alternative explanations for nitrite utilization patterns that have been observed in previous work. Our approach provides a foundation for a more comprehensive understanding of the role of nitrite dynamics of phytoplankton in natural and engineered systems.



* Contact: Martino.Malerba@my.jcu.edu.au



Figure 1:

Dynamics for medium nitrite and nitrate, and biomass for three batch cultures of *Picochlorum atomus*. Phytoplankton continuously uptake nitrate and cells actively excrete nitrite to avoid cytotoxic effects of internal nitrite build up. Conversely, under nitrate limiting conditions,

phytoplankton can directly take up nitrite and use it as an alternative nitrogen source.

The *solid line* shows the best-fit model with observation error. Because this model assumes that the noise in the data is dominated by observation error, predicted values at time *t*+1 are calculated from the predicted values at time t, and thus the best-fit trajectory is a smooth line.

The *arrows* show the best-fit model with process error. Because the process-error model assumes that the noise is dominated by real biological variability, the observed values at time t (base of the arrows) are used to obtain predict values at time *t*+1 (arrow heads; 'one-step ahead' prediction).



















MES COOK